UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,077	06/09/2005	Young-Hoan Jun	0630-2336PUS1	7190	
2292 7590 08/04/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALL S CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER		
			HAMO, PATRICK		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3746		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/538,077	JUN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	PATRICK HAMO	3746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this co					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	lv 2009						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8 and 11-15</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8, 11-15</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.6. § 115(a)	-(a) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
			Stane				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	- 110 - 110	-					
Attach manut/a)							
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 2, 2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoo et al., US 6,565,327 in view of Fry et al., US 4,875,000.

In regard to claims 5 and 12:

Yoo discloses an operation control apparatus for a reciprocating compressor comprising voltage and current detection units, a stroke calculation unit based on

Application/Control Number: 10/538,077

Art Unit: 3746

detected V and I, varying the stroke based on a signal generated by a control unit. Yoo

does not disclose an overcurrent cutoff system and any of its peripheral and structural

elements.

However, Fry teaches an overcurrent cutoff system for an AC controller including

Page 3

a standard current value storing unit V_{ref}, a comparing unit 62, a control unit 64 for

generating a cut-off signal, and a power supply device 22 cut off by the unit 64 in an

overcurrent situation, when the voltage output from the current sensor is greater than

V_{ref}. It is well known in the art that refrigerator compressors, such as that disclosed by

Yoo, are especially sensitive to overcurrent situations. Therefore, it would have been

obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have

modified the refrigerator compressor of Yoo with the overcurrent cutoff system of Fry to

protect the compressor from such situations by cutting off the source current to the

compressor motor when the current is too high.

In regard to claim 6:

Yoo discloses a triac Tr1 as a current control means.

In regard to claim 7:

Yoo's compressor is installed on a refrigerator.

In regard to claims 13 and 14:

Art Unit: 3746

Fry's overcurrent cutoff system further outputs the resulting signal, whether the current is greater or less than the reference value, to a current control device in the form of switch 50 in the power supply device 22.

In regard to claim 15:

Yoo's microcomputer controls the ramp-up startup for the compressor while the compressor is running, which corresponds to the current being less than or the same as the reference current.

Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 5 above in view of Oh et al., US 6,289,680.

The references as applied to claim 5 teach all of the limitations substantially as claimed except for comparing a detected stroke to a preset stroke reference value. However, Oh teaches a refrigerant compressor where a stroke controller 33 compares the stroke value based on the voltage and current applied to the compressor and compares it to a reference stroke to maintain a stable stroke (col. 9, line 61 – col. 10, line 15). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the references as applied to claim 5 with Oh to provide a stable compressor stroke. In combination, it would further be obvious to a person having ordinary skill that this would operate when the detected current value is same as or samller than the standard current value, otherwise the compressor would be shut off.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-8 and 11-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PATRICK HAMO whose telephone number is (571)272-3492. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on 571-272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746 Application/Control Number: 10/538,077 Page 6

Art Unit: 3746

/Patrick Hamo/ Patent Examiner, AU 3746